

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಪಂಚೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸೀರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಮಗ್ಗದವರಿಗೇ ನೇಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟು, ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರು ಮಾಡಕೂಡದೆಂದು ಪ್ರೋಹಿಬಿಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಜೀವನ ಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಉತ್ತಮವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಭಾವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ನಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲ. ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಶೇಕಡ 40 ರಷ್ಟು ಪಂಚೆಗಳ ಕೈಮಗ್ಗದವರಿಗೆ ಮಾನರಾಗಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಮದರಾಸು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಏನಾದರೂ ಆ ಲೇತಿ ಒಂದು ನೀತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—We are guided by one policy, that is the textile policy for the whole of India.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This question was taken up yesterday under Q. 270 by Sri B. T. Kemparaj and again last week the same question was answered.

Encouragement to Khadi Industry.

Q.—404. Sri S. R. NAGAPPA SETTY (Shimoga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) how many Khadi centres are there in the State, managed by Government, by District Boards and other institutions ;

(b) the total production of Khadi in square yards, yearwar from 1940 to 1953 June end ;

(c) how many square yards of khadi have been imported to our State ;

(d) the action taken for the development of Khadi and Village Industries in the State ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(a) Departmental.—

(i) Centres including Branches ... 10

(ii) District Board Centres including Branches. 12

(iii) Other Institutions 3

Total... 25

(b) Information readily available from 1943-44 is furnished below :—

Year	Departmental Centres in Sq. yards	District Board Centres in Sq. yards
1943-44 ...	1,06,230
1944-45 ...	1,10,960
1945-46 ...	97,830 ...	1,01,500
1946-47 ...	34,880 ...	52,750
1947-48 ...	85,830 ...	62,480
1948-49 ...	57,410 ...	57,390
1949-50 ...	32,130 ...	38,840
1950-51 ...	45,380 ...	50,540
1951-52 ...	34,900 ...	26,740
1952-53 ...	49,830 ...	38,050

(c) Information is not available.

(d) Government have constituted a Khadi Committee to deal with the development of Khadi in the State and have allotted an annual grant of Rs. 1 lakh for subsidising the Khadi activities.

The Department of Industries and Commerce has been giving special and sustained attention to the development of handicrafts and cottage industries, particularly, among the rural people, with the main objective of enabling the people engaged in the traditional industries and handicrafts to improve their skill and efficiency by studying improved methods of work, tools and designs. For this purpose, the Department has maintained at present 31 Cottage Industries' Centres and these Centres are staffed by trained and experienced Instructors who actually work upon improved tools and appliances, demonstrate and teach the process to the trainees. Sir M. Visvesvaraya's Rural Industrialization Scheme has already been introduced in three Districts and it is proposed to extend it to all the remaining Districts from next year.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಈ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾಪು ನೂಚಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ 1943-44 ಮತ್ತು 44-45ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನುಮಾರು 2 ಲಕ್ಷ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಚದರ ಗಜ ಖಾದಿ ತಯಾರಾಗಿದೆ. ಅನಂತರ ಕಡಮೆ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲಸ ಅಷ್ಟು ಚುರುಕಾಗಿ ನಡೆದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಕಂಟ್ರೋಲರ್ ಅನುಭವ ಇಲ್ಲದೆಯಿರತಕ್ಕ ಅದಿ ಕಾರಿಗರ ಕೈಗೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ರೀತಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes, I agree that the working of the Department is not satisfactory; something has to be done to tone up. I have received the report of the Government of India Committee which is constituted under the Chairmanship of Sri Mehta and immediate steps will be taken by the Government to reconstitute the committee and to see what can be done in the matter.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಈ ರೀತಿ ಉತ್ಪನ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದ ಖಾದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಭಾಗ ಬೀರಿತು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೀರಿ ? ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ಧವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಆರ್. ರ್ಲೀಸ್, ಮೀನಿಯರ್ ಸ್ವಾಪ್ ಮತ್ತು ಡೈವರ್ಸ್ ಇವರುಗಳಿಗೆಯೂ ಖಾದಿಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಹುಕುಂ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಆ ರೀತಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಲೂ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ರೂರಲ್ ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರಿಯಲ್ಸ್‌ನೇಷನ್ ಸ್ಕೀಂ ಮೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದು ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ವರದಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—So far as the rural industrialisation scheme is concerned, I have examined and I am satisfied. There is nothing to complain about it at present.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I ask what is the committee to which the Hon'ble Minister made reference, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—The Committee constituted by Government of India for Khadi and Cottage Industries.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is it not a fact that there was a conference of Regional Committees of the A.I.S.A. some time during the last year which was attended by the Hon'ble Minister who is now answering these questions ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes, Sir.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is it not a fact that in the report it is stated that the Centres must try to stand on their own legs and Governments cannot afford to subsidise these Centres ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—But now the Government of India have revised their policy and in view of that

fact, something will have to be done to resuscitate this industry.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What are the remedies Government contemplate for improving the Khadi production Centres run by the District Boards in the State, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—We have been examining as to why Government should not take away all the Centres run by District Boards and take them under Government management.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—How do Government presume that the Centres run by Government are running more efficiently than the Centres run by District Boards ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I am saying this by the experience of one District, of the affairs of which I am intimately aware, one of the best production Centres is now in a worst condition, i.e. Chitaldrug.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What is the reason for not entrusting this work to the local people who have experience of this and who have worked for this their life-time ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is exactly what has been suggested by Government of India.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Will Government of Mysore accept that suggestion.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Within a week Government will pass orders.

Sri P. R. RAMAIA.—Will the Government be pleased to state how much of the Khadi produced in these Centres is consumed by Government, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have not got figures, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ಬೊಂಬಾಯಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಲ್ಲಾ ಖಾದಿ ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ನಿಜವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ಧವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಎಂ. ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯ.—ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆ ರೀತಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ಧವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾಡಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಆಗಲೇ ಆರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಖಾದಿ ಸಮಿತಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಸಮಿತಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಯಾವುದನ್ನೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕಿಲ್ಲ; ಆದರೆ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಚುರುಕಾಗಿ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಬಡ್ತಿ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಮೊನ್ನೆಯೇ 70 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು 'lapse' ಆಗಿವೆಯೆಂದು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗದ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ತೀರ ಕಟ್ಟಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ; ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸಹಾಯವನ್ನೂ ನೀಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸಹಾಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೂ ಸಾರ್ಥಕವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

and the salary they were drawing, is appended below.

(b) 19.

(c) 18.

(d) This information has also been furnished in the Statement referred to above.

(e) The extra cost would be Rs. 45,146-2-3 per annum.

Statement showing the names of Lecturers recommended as Supernumerary Assistant Professors and the salary they were drawing.

SUPERNUMERARY ASSISTANT PROFESSORS

Q.—77. Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR (T.-Narsipur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the University Council had sent up a resolution 18 months back recommending granting of supernumerary Assistant Professorship for certain Lecturers of the University; if so, the total number so recommended, their names, the salary they were drawing and the date of entry into service as Lecturers ;

(b) since then how many of these Lectures have been appointed as Assistant Professors in the vacancies that occurred till to date ;

(c) how many of the remaining are drawing the basic salary of the cadre of Assistant Professors as on 1st December 1953 ;

(d) how many years of service have these Lecturers at their credit prior to retirement ;

(e) what is the financial commitment of the Government if the proposal of the University Council is accepted ?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) (i) Yes, on 27-10-1952 ;

(ii) 46. Statement showing the names of Lecturers recommended as supernumerary Assistant Professors

Sl. No.	Name	Years of service at their credit on the date prior to retirement	Pay
1	K. N. Seshagiriiah	6	250
2	M J Narayana Iyengar	8	250
3	A Narayana Rao	8	"
4	K Rangaswamy	3	"
5	B S Vyasavittal	1	"
6	K Srinivasa Raghavan	6	"
7	T Thirunarayanachar	5	"
8	S Srinivasa Murthy	6	"
9	C V Srinivasa Murthy	4	"
10	S K Narasimha Murthy	7	"
11	N K Anandathirthachar	9	"
12	M R Doreswami Iyengar	6	"
13	B C Srinivasa Murthy	7	"
14	N S Suryanarayana Rao	4	"
15	M Narayana Rao	6	"
16	B Sitarama Sastry	8	"
17	M Ramaswami	6	"
18	H S Venkatanarayana Iyer	8	"
19	B A Krishnaswamy Rao	8	"
20	M V Jambunathan	9	"
21	H K Raja Rao	4	"
22	S R Kubair	5	"
23	H. Subba Rao	Retired	"
24	H R Abdul Majeed	6	"
25	D M Subrahmanyam	7	"
26	B S Krishnaswamy Iyengar	9	"